DAIMLERCHRYSLERNTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 1 3 2005

DaimlerChrysler Intellectual Capital Corporation



To: Examiner Hilary L. Gutman From: Gordon K. Harris, Reg. No. 28,615

Fax: Pages: 7 + 2 (Αρρεκλίκ)

Phone: (703) 305-0496

Date: January 13, 2005

Group Art Unit: 3612

Re: Application No. 10/646,188

See the attached: Transmittal Form; Fee Transmittal; and APPEAL BRIEF.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on January 13, 2005.

Susan J. Sidwell

This communication contains confidential information which is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may also contain information that is protected by the Attorney-Client Privilege or the Work Product Doctrine. Copying or distribution of this communication by persons other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the address below by United States mail. Thank you.

Please type a plus sign	, ,		to beniup	J.S. Patent and Tradema	rk Office: U.S.	PTO/SB/21 (08-00) ough 10/31/2002. OMB 0851-0031 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE t displays a valid OMB control number.						
				cation Number	10/646,188							
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date		August 22, 2003							
			First Named Inventor		Rhodes, et al.							
			Group Art Unit		3612	3612						
			Examiner Name		Hilary L.	Gutman						
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorn	ey Docket Number	70 6 441U	706441US5						
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)												
Fee Transmittal Form		Assignment Paper (for an Application)			After Allowance Communication to Group							
Fee Attached		Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences								
Amendment / Response		Licensing-related Papers				il Communication to Group il Notice, <u>Brief</u> , Reply Brief) (in ite)						
☐ After Final		Petition			Propri	etary Information						
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			☐ Status	Letter						
Extension of Time Request (one month)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address				Enclosure(s) a identify below):						
		☐ Termin	al Discla	imer	18	t urn receipt postcard -						
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund										
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)										
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				-						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		1				·						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53												
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT												
Firm or	Attomey/Agent Name Reg. No.											
Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. Gordon K. Harris, Jr. 28,615											
Signature	Su K. Klains											
Date Jeaucry 13, 2005												
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING											
				h the United States Posi Patents, PO Box 1450, A		first class mail in an envelope 22313-1450						
Typed or printed name	T											
Signature					Date							

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (11-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TO AMOMITTAL	Complete if Known									
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Applic	Application Number 10/848,188								
for FY 2001	Filing	Filing Date Au			gust 22, 2003					
	First N	First Named Inventor Rh			hodes et al.					
Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	Exami	Examiner Name Hill			ry L. Gutman					
	Group / Art Unit 38			381	2					
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500	Attom	Attorney Docket No. 70			J441US5					
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)									
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:				Small	· _ ·					
Deposit	Fee	Fee	Fee	Entity	Foe I	Description	Fee			
Account 03-1800	Code 105	(\$) 130	Code 205	(\$) 65			Peld			
Number	127	50	203	25	_	filing fee or eath provisional filing fee				
Deposit Account DaimlerChrysler Intellectual Capital Corporation	139	130	139	130	or cover sheet. Non-English spe	,	<u> </u>			
Name California California Capital Corporation	147	2,520	147	2,520		est for reexamination				
☑ Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	112	920*	112	920*		lication of SIR prior to				
Applicant cisims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	113	1,840°	113	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action					
2. Payment Enclosed:	115	110	215	55	Extension for re	ply within first month				
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other Order	115	390	216	195	Extension for re month	ply within second				
FEE CALCULATION	117	890	217	445		ply within third month				
1. BASIC FILING FEE	118	1,390	218	695	Extension for re month	ply within fourth				
Large Entity Small Entity	128	1,890	228	945		ply within fifth month				
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid	119	310	219	155	Notice of Appea					
101 710 201 355 Litility filling fee	120 121	340 270 -	220 221	155 135	Request for oral	support of an appeal	600			
106 320 206 160 Design filling fee	138	1,510	138	1,510	Patition to institu	_				
108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee	140	110	240	55	proceeding Petition to revive	- unavoldable				
114 150 214 75 Provisional filling fee	141	1,240	241	620	Petition to revive					
SUBTOTAL (1) (5) 0	142	1,240	242	620	Utility Issue fee (
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0	143	440	243	220	Design issue fee	Design issue fee				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee		\square			
Extra Féa from Fee Claims below Paid	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the (
Total Claims - = 0 X = 0	123	130	123	130	Petitions related applications	·				
- = 0 X = 0	128	180	126	180	Stmt	nformation Disclosure				
Multiple X = 0	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)					
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	148	710	248	355	Filing a submiss (37 CFR § 1.125	ion after final rejection (a))				
Code (\$) Code (\$) 788 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	149	710	249	355		nal Invention to be				
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	179	710	279	355	•	ued Examination (RCE)				
109 80 209 40 Relisive independent claims over original patient	169	900	169	900	Request for exped of a design applica	ited examination	 			
110 18 210 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent										
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0	Other fe	Other fee (specify)								
"or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above	*Reduc	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500								
SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable) Name (Print/Type) Gordon K. Jreffis, Jr. Registration No. Attorney/Agent) 28,815 Telephone 248,841-1800										
	yragent)	₹8,6	19	-	Telephone	248-641-1600				
Signature Many /a			•		Date	January 13,	2518			

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Attorney Docket No. 706441US5

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JAN 1 3 2005 Examiner: Hilary L. Gutman APPEAL BRIEF Serial No. 10/646,188 Applicants: Rhodes et al.

VEHICLE SEAT

Attorney Docket No.: 706441US5

August 22, 2003

STADIUM STYLE MOTOR

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Filed:

For:

This is an appeal from the Final Rejection of Claims 1 and 3—9 under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) in the Office Action mailed July 27, 2004.

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is DaimlerChrysler Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware U.S.A., and having a principal place of business in Auburn Hills, Michigan, U.S.A.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences which would directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the instant appeal.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1 and 3—9 are pending in this application. All pending claims have been rejected and are the subject of this Appeal. A copy of Claims 1 and 3—9 is set forth in the Appendix hereto.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

In response to an initial Office Action of April 13, 2004, Applicants filed an Amendment and Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 111 amending Claim 1 and canceling Claims 2 and 10—13.

In response to the Final Office Action of July 27, 2004, Applicants filed a Response After Final Rejection on October 5, 2004, with no further amendment to the pending claims. A Notice of Appeal was filed November 19, 2004.

V. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Applicants claim a seat assembly for a motor vehicle having a floor, the seat assembly including a tub disposed at least partially in the floor, at least one rear leg non-releasably secured to the floor of the vehicle and being pivotable to stow the seat assembly in the tub. The seat assembly also includes a seat back fixedly mounted on the at least one rear leg and at least one front leg releasably secured to the floor of the vehicle.

VI. ISSUE

Whether or not Claims 1 and 3—9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Cannera et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,195,795).

VII. GROUPING OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1 and 3—9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Cannera et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,195,795. These claims stand or fall together.

VIII. ARGUMENT

The Rejection

Claims 1 and 3—9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cannera et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejection of these claims. As discussed below, the Examiner has failed to state a prima facie case of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), because the cited Cannera et al. reference falls to set forth each and every limitation of the claimed invention, either explicitly or inherently. General Electric Co. v. Nintendo Co., 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1910, 1915 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The Cannera et al. reference fails to disclose "at least one front leg releasably secured to the floor of the vehicle," as called for in Applicants' independent Claims 1 and 8. Cannera's front leg 20 is clearly shown coupled to a floor of the vehicle at all times—either in a deployed seat position as shown in Fig. 2 or in a completely stowed seat position as shown in Fig. 4. Cannera makes no suggestion of making leg 20 releasable from the vehicle floor, nor does Cannera suggest that pin 88 (Fig. 5) is removable from yoke 84. The Examiner's assertion in the Final Rejection that "even if those members were permanently attached, that with enough force one could indeed detach the front leg from the floor" would render the terms "releasable" and "nonreleasable" as meaning the same thing. Accordingly, Applicants are entitled to a grant of a patent. General Electric Co., supra at 1915.

IX. SUMMARY

The rejection of Claims 1 and 3—9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is in error. There is no disclosure in the art of record of Applicants' claimed invention. The Examiner has failed to state a prima facie case under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and, accordingly, Applicants are entitled to a reversal of the Final Rejection of Claims 1 and 3—9 and the grant of a patent over the instant application.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis A. Rhodes, et al.

Dated: January 13, 2005

BY:

Gordon K. Harris, Reg. No. 28,615

Attorneys for Applicants

Ralph E. Smith
DaimlerChrysler Intellectual Capital Corporation
CIMS 483-02-19
800 Chrysler Drive East
Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326-2757
Phone: (248) 944-6519